



2017/18 Joint Project Board Project Annual Report Findings

February 12, 2019

Overview

Background

- Introduction
- JPB Annual Narrative Report Submissions
- Other Evaluation Component Updates

Implementation Progress

- Implementation Progress 2016/17 to 2017/18
- JPB Funded Positions
- Implementation Barriers & Strategies

Delivery Of Care Progress

- Service Delivery Trends: Total Client Visits
- Improvements in Service Accessibility
- Integrating a Wellness Approach
- Delivery Of Care Barriers & Strategies

Discussion Questions

Introduction

2017/18 JPB Project Annual Report Findings

- Key findings highlighted in this deck
- Second annual narrative report summary
- Analysis includes trends from 2016/17 to 2017/18
- Component of provincial analysis (one of three evaluation streams) of JPB Projects Provincial Evaluation





JPB Annual Narrative Report Submissions



Increase in number of annual narrative report submissions

Two additional projects submitted reports this year.



Submission delays

Roughly half of projects had submitted annual narrative reports by the July 2018 deadline. Reports were coming in as late as 6 months after the deadline.

Implementation Progress 2016/17 to 2017/18



Implementation Progress 2016/17 to 2017/18



Highlights

Over the past fiscal year, the proportion of operational projects has increased by 11% and the proportion of fully operational projects has increased by 15%.

Healthcare Professional	Proportion of JPB Funded Positions Filled (Provincially)	2016/17	Highlights
Nurse Practitioner	***	7%	Overall, the proportion of JPB funded positions
RN or LPN	* * * * * * * * * * 7 0	0%	filled have increased or been maintained across
GP	* * * * * * * * * * * 10	00%	every healthcare professional group from
Social Worker	* * * * * * * * * * * 4 7	7%	the 2016/17 fiscal year to the 2017/18 fiscal
Mental H&W	* * * * * * * * * * * 3 3	3%	year.
Other Allied HP	<u>****</u> ******	7%	
Admin Support	<u>*************************************</u>	7%	
Other	* * * * * * * * * * * * 6 3	3%	



Highlights

Overall, the proportion of JPB funded positions filled have increased or been maintained across every healthcare professional group from the 2016/17 fiscal year to the 2017/18 fiscal year.

Healthcare Professional	Proportion of JPB Funded Positions Filled (Provincially)	2017/18	Social Workers
Nurse Practitioner	***	+16% 83%	50% (17 out of 34) of funded positions filled.
RN or LPN	****	+8% 78%	Unfilled # Position Status
GP	****	100%	Recruiting 4
Social Worker	****	+3% 50%	Vacant due to turnover 2
Mental H&W	***	+28% 61%	Not reported 11
Other Allied HP	****	87%	Recruiting : 3 out of 4 have been recruiting for 7-10 months. All from one
Admin Support	****	+7% 94%	project in Vancouver Island Region.
Other	****	+26% 89%	

Healthcare Professional	Proportion of JPB Funded Positions Filled (Provincially)	2017/18	Social Workers
Nurse Practitioner	<u>****</u>	+16% 83%	50% (17 out of 34) of funded positions filled.
RN or LPN	****	+8% 78%	Unfilled # Position Status
GP	****	100%	Recruiting 4
Social Worker	* * * * * * * * * * * *	+3% 50%	Vacant due to turnover 2
Mental H&W	***	+28% 61%	Not reported 11
Other Allied HP	****	87%	Not reported: The majority (10 out of 11) of these positions are from one
Admin Support	****	+7% 94%	project and are due to phased project
Other	* * * * * * * * * * *	+26% 89%	implementation of versus recruitment challenges.



JPB Funded Positions: By Region

Region	Proportion of JPB Funded Positions Filled (By Region)	2016/17	Highlights
All Projects	****	64%	In 2016/17, approximately 64%
Fraser	***	67%	of JPB-funded positions were filled.
Interior	***	68%	
Northern	***	58%	
Vancouver Coastal	***	93%*	
Vancouver Island	***	52%	
Provincial Project	***	80%	

JPB Funded Positions: By Region

Region	Proportion of JPB Funded Positions Filled (By Region) 2017/18	
All Projects	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	In 20 out c
Fraser	★★★★★★★★★★★ 83%	fund were
Interior	* * * * * * * * * * * * * • • • • • • •	incre previ
Northern	* * * * * * * * * * * 62%	The posit
Vancouver Coastal	<u>***</u>	posit incre main
Vancouver Island	★★★★★★★★★★ 1 59%	regio
Provincial Project	* * * * * * * * * * * * 100%	

Highlights

In 2017/18, 75% (121 out of 162) of JPBfunded positions were filled – an 11% increase from the previous year.

The proportion of positions filled has increased or been maintained across all regions.

JPB Funded Positions: By Region

Region	Proportion of JPB Funded Positions Filled (By Region)	2017/18
All Projects	****	+11% 75%
Fraser	***	+16% 83%
Interior	* * * * * * * * * * * *	+24% 92%
Northern	****	+4% 62%
Vancouver Coastal	****	93%*
Vancouver Island	****	+7% 59%
Provincial Project	****	+20% 100%

Fraser and Interior Regions

Both of these regions are close to having fully staffed projects with only three positions remaining (in each region) to be filled.

JPB Funded Positions: By Region

Region	Proportion of JPB Funded Positions Filled (By Region)	2017/18
All Projects	****	+11% 75%
Fraser	***	+16% 83%
Interior	<u>****</u>	+24% 92%
Northern	****	+4% 62%
Vancouver Coastal	****	93%*
Vancouver Island	****	+7% 59%
Provincial Project	****	+20% 100%

Northern Region

The majority (19 out of 22) of these unfilled positions are from one project and are due to <u>phased</u> <u>implementation</u> of this project versus recruitment and retention challenges.

JPB Funded Positions: By Region

Region	Proportion of JPB Funded Positions Filled (By Region)	2017/18
All Projects	****	+11% 75%
Fraser	***	+16% 83%
Interior	****	+24% 92%
Northern	****	+4% 62%
Vancouver Coastal	****	93%*
Vancouver Island	***	+7% 59%
Provincial Project	****	+20% 100%

Vancouver Island Region

The majority (11 out of 13) of these unfilled positions are from one project. Half of these positions have been recruiting for a year or more.

The length of the recruitment and hiring process/ steps has contributed to attrition of potential candidates.











JPB Funded Positions: By Region



stage

Positions in recruitment



Positions vacant due to turnover



Positions closed after >1yr recruiting

Position status for 2017/18 FY unknown

Overall, the status of 13% of JPB funded positions for the 2017/18 fiscal year is not clear or was not reported on.

18 out of 21 of these positions are from one project.

*Note: Does not include positions from projects with no 2017/18 annual narrative report received.

Most Commonly Reported Implementation Barriers

	Recruitment & Retention	Infrastructure	Funding Conditions	To a three month
	ack of trained candidates in he area (54%)	IT issues (e.g. Bandwidth, EMR) (50%)	Barriers related to funding conditions (42%)	Top three most commonly reported implementation
ł	Lack of short-term nousing/accommodation (38%)	Lack of physical office space (42%)	Lack of clarity on funding usage, eligible activities, and available	barriers in 2017/18
	Jnable to attract local qualified candidates (33%)	Lack of confidential clinical space (42%)	resources	
c F	Length of time to hire (e.g. developing job description, posting job, signing contract, setting up workspace) (25%)	Lack of spaces where clients feel comfortable and safe		
ć	Finding candidates who are an appropriate fit and culturally safe and humble	accessing care		

Top Implementation Barriers: By Funding Recipient

	First Nations Organization/ Nation	FNHA	Health Authority	Highlights
	Lack of trained candidates in the area (43%)	Lack of trained candidates in the area (33%)	Lack of trained candidates in the area (75%)	All three groups reported lack of trained candidates in the area and lack
	Unable to attract local qualified candidates (compensation issues such as pay, benefits, seniority) (43%)	IT issues (eg. Bandwidth, EMR) (33 %)	IT issues (eg. Bandwidth, EMR) (63%)	of confidential office space or accessible confidential file storage as top
	Project planning and start-up taking a significant amount of time (43%)	Length of time to hire (e.g. developing job description, posting job, signing contract, setting	Project planning and start-up taking a significant amount of time (50%)	implementation barriers.
		up workspace) (33%)	Funding conditions (50 %)	
ľ	Lack of accessible confidential file storage (43%)	Lack of accessible confidential file storage (33%)	Lack of confidential office space (50%)	Recruitment & Retention
l				Infrastructure
	Lack of physical office space (43%)	Provider doesn't have the tools they need (33%)	Lack of physical office space (50%)	Funding Conditions



Top Implementation Barriers: By Funding Recipient

First Nations Organization/ Nation	FNHA	Health Authority	Highlights
Lack of trained candidates in the area (43%)	Lack of trained candidates in the area (33%)	Lack of trained candidates in the area (75%)	Funding conditions was only reported as a top implementation
Unable to attract local qualified candidates (compensation issues such as pay, benefits, seniority) (43%)	IT issues (eg. Bandwidth, EMR) (33 %)	IT issues (eg. Bandwidth, EMR) (63%)	barrier among projects with Health Authority funding recipients.
Project planning and start-up taking a significant amount of	Length of time to hire (e.g. developing job description, posting job,	Project planning and start-up taking a significant amount of time (50%)	
time (43%)	signing contract, setting up workspace) (33%)	Funding conditions (50 %)	
Lack of accessible confidential file storage (43%)	Lack of accessible confidential file storage (33%)	Lack of confidential office space (50%)	Recruitment & Retention
	(55%)		Infrastructure
Lack of physical office space (43%)	Provider doesn't have the tools they need (33%)	Lack of physical office space (50%)	Funding Conditions

Recruitment Strategies

Expanding recruitment efforts by:

- Networking with partner organizations and communities to reach larger pool of candidates
- Partnering with health authorities to support advertising of positions
- Use of creative recruitment incentives including flexibility in home location and interchange agreements with health authorities.
- Project suggestion/request: More flexibility in position qualification requirements/criteria

"The most significant challenge has been to recruit qualified and appropriate candidates who are a good fit and understand working in a First Nations context in a culturally safe and respectful manner."



Retention Strategies

Preventing provider burnout and improving retention by:

- Staffing full-time positions with multiple part-time individuals
- Hiring casual staff to support leaves and fill staffing gaps (to reduce burden on permanent staff/providers)
- Project suggestion/request: Improved access to professional training opportunities for project staff/providers

"Although we have been unable to recruit a second midwife this year, we have been able to secure a few locums. This has enabled at least a few breaks for our busy midwife, who has an endless 'on call' schedule."



Infrastructure Strategies

- Health centre's IT department conducting site visits and consulting with IT services in other communities
- Flexibility and willingness of providers to work effectively in whatever spaces may be available for care delivery/ meeting with clients in communities
- Sharing health authority office space/colocating with other care providers
- Staggering provider schedules to share available office space

"Some communities [...] lack an optimal space for group and individual counselling, and it is a credit to the experience, skill, and flexibility of our counsellors that they are able to effectively work within these confines."



Funding Condition Strategies

- Mobilizing funding from additional sources
- Discussion with partners (FNHA, health authorities, Nations/Bands) about managing operational demands with financial constraints
- Project request: more clarity and flexibility around what activities can be funded under JPB project

"Confusion and conflicting messages regarding funding of this project have occurred, and expectations during the course of the project have changed."



Service Delivery Trends: Total Client Visits



Highlights

Total client visits **increased by 77%** in 2017/18.

Fraser Region has consistently had the highest number of total client visits.

Fraser Region has the highest number of filled physician and NP positions: these groups tend to have more client visits compared with other provider groups.



Improvements in Service Accessibility



Highlights

Projects reported improvements across several dimensions of service accessibility and availability since project initiation.



Improvements in Service Accessibility



Highlights

On average, projects reported the greatest improvement in **service identification and navigation** and the least improvement in **ability to recruit and retain healthcare workers**.



92% of projects agreed or strongly agreed that as a result of the project, wellness is integrated into the delivery of care.

96% of projects agreed or strongly agreed that as a result of the project, **cultural safety and humility of care has improved.**

Inclusion of SDoH in initial client assessments

Positions (e.g. navigators, wellness coordinators) to support navigation and coordination of health and social services and resources Integrat

Social determinants of health approaches

Partnerships with other service providers and organizations (e.g. with non-profit housing society)

Integrated care teams

Person-centred & Family-centred care Wrap around <u>care</u>

"Staff work with families in a way that incorporates values like respect, responsibility, wisdom and relationships.

They also work with families around social determinants of health, including economic, social, cultural and environmental factors."

Two-eyed seeing approaches

Knowledge exchange

Learning from traditional knowledge keepers

Inclusion of SDoH in initial client assessments

Positions (e.g. navigators, wellness coordinators) to support navigation and coordination of health and social services and resources

Social determinants of health approaches

> Partnerships with other service providers and organizations (e.g. with non-profit housing society)

Integrated care teams

"Providing holistic and wrap around support is key to working with families in a culturally mindful and supportive manner."

Person-centred & Family-centred care Wrap around care

Two-eyed seeing approaches

Knowledge exchange

Learning from traditional knowledge keepers

Inclusion of SDoH in initial client assessments

Positions (e.g. navigators, wellness coordinators) to support navigation and coordination of health and social services and resources

Social determinants of health approaches

Partnerships with other service providers and organizations (e.g. with non-profit housing society)

Integrated care teams

Person-centred & Family-centred care Wrap around care

"There is a direct attempt to blend two approaches, western psychiatry and substance use modalities blended with First Nations perspectives on spirituality and the importance of local cultural practices and traditions in maintaining mental wellness."

Two-eyed seeing approaches Knowledge exchange

Learning from traditional knowledge keepers

Most Commonly Reported Delivery of Care Barriers

Coordination of Care	Service Utilization	Technology Integration
Clients difficult to reach for follow-up (54%)	Client unaware of services (50%)	Lack of access to health authority EMR (46%)
Client record/charting issues (other than lack of EMR) (42%)	Restricted provider hours/availability (50%)	Multiple EMRs that aren't integrated (46%)
Lack of communication between service delivery organizations (38%)	Clients don't trust/know the providers yet (38%)	
Confusion over coordination of services or resources among multiple funding recipients (29%)	Location of service difficult for clients to get to (29%)	
Perceived privacy barrier to sharing information (29%)	ger (0 (2970)	

Most commonly reported delivery of care barriers in 2017/18

Top Delivery of Care Barriers: By Funding Recipient

First Nations Organization/ Nation	FNHA	Health Authority	Highlights
Lack of access to health authority EMR (50%)	Clients difficult to reach for follow-up (100%)	Restricted provider hours (eg. Only available during daytime) (75%)	Among all three funding recipient groups, difficulty reaching clients for follow-up was reported as a top delivery of care barrier.
Clients difficult to reach for follow-up (43%)	Clients unaware of services (67%)	Clients difficult to reach for follow-up (63%)	
Clients unaware of services (43%)	Client record / charting issues (if different than lack of EMR). (67%)	Multiple EMRs that aren't integrated (63%)	
	Clients don't trust/know the providers yet (67%)	Location of service difficult for clients to get to (63%)	
			Service Utilization, Access, & Availability
			Technology Integration
			Coordination of Care

Top Delivery of Care Barriers: By Funding Recipient

First Nations Organization/ Nation	FNHA	Health Authority	Highlights EMR-integration- related issues top barrier for both First Nations Organization-led projects and Health Authority-led projects.
Lack of access to health authority EMR (50%)	Clients difficult to reach for follow-up (100%)	Restricted provider hours (eg. Only available during daytime) (75%)	
Clients difficult to reach for follow-up (43%)	Clients unaware of services (67%)	Clients difficult to reach for follow-up (63%)	
Clients unaware of services (43%)	Client record / charting issues (if different than lack of EMR). (67%)	Multiple EMRs that aren't integrated (63%)	
	Clients don't trust/know the providers yet (67%)	Location of service difficult for clients to get to (63%)	
			Service Utilization, Access, & Availability
			Technology Integration
			Coordination of Care

Top Delivery of Care Barriers: By Funding Recipient

First Nations Organization/ Nation	FNHA	Health Authority	Highlights
Lack of access to health authority EMR (50%)	Clients difficult to reach for follow-up (100%)	Restricted provider hours (eg. Only available during daytime) (75%)	Delivery of Care barriers related to availability and flexibility of services, including provider availability/hours and location of services, were among the top reported delivery of care barriers for health authority projects only.
Clients difficult to reach for follow-up (43%)	Clients unaware of services (67%)	Clients difficult to reach for follow-up (63%)	
Clients unaware of services (43%)	Client record / charting issues (if different than lack of EMR). (67%)	Multiple EMRs that aren't integrated (63%)	
	Clients don't trust/know the providers yet (67%)	Location of service difficult for clients to get to (63%)	
			Service Utilization, Access, & Availability
			Technology Integration
			Coordination of Care



Coordination of Care Improvement Strategies

- Building relationships between project staff/providers and staff/providers from other local health facilities and organizations
- Strengthening partnerships with health authorities
- Integration with other local health service providers and organizations
- Team-based approaches
- Co-locating specialist care

"Our focus on integration has resulted in improved care to patients, particularly for patients who are difficult to track down or have complex care issues."



Service Utilization Improvement Strategies

- Project staff/providers visit communities, participate in community and Nation events, engage with Health Directors
- Promote project services in communities (e.g. information booths at events, distribution of promotional materials)
- Email and text notifications about project services, visits, sessions
- Increased flexibility of services (e.g. regularly scheduled drop-ins)
- Using combination of in-person and virtual service delivery

"We often go where the clients we work with go. We attend soup kitchens, community meals, pancake breakfasts and the harm reduction bus."



Technology Integration Improvement Strategies

- Exploring other options for charting/medical records management (e.g. purchasing access to MedAccess)
- Development of centralized document/data collection tool

"Each of the communities have their own EMR systems that are not integrated, would be helpful for service providers to be able to access one system."

